## Student peer review checklist



Education in Chemistry
July 2018
rsc.li/2tBSMXI

This activity accompanies the article 'Check my working'. Read the article and then use this set of questions to evaluate your partner's experimental write-up and provide some constructive feedback.

Author's name: [Your partner's name]	Reviewer rating		
Reviewer's name: [Your name]	Yes	In part	No
Introduction	1		
Did the author provide accurate and sufficient background information about the study?			
Did the author clearly state the aim of the experiment?			
Method			
Is the method explained well enough so that someone else could repeat it and know what to do?			
Has the author used the correct terminology?			
Results			
Have the results been presented clearly and in an appropriate format (eg in a table and/or using graphs or diagrams)?			
Conclusion	•		
Do the results support the conclusion?			
Has the author discussed how well their data fits with that of others'?			
If you have rated any section 'no' or 'in part', explain how the author might improve their work.			